


MGISAC Northeast Regional GIS Workshop - May 22nd

Q1

In consideration of the Northeast region of Missouri, was the workshop's location inconvenient?

Answered: 22 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Not at all, it was well worth the drive.	86.36% 19
Somewhat, I don't usually get up that early to travel.	13.64% 3
Yes, it was more than 100 miles away.	0.00% 0
Total	22

22 responses

68 days (June 11, 2014 - now)

2 views

Need insights?

SurveyMonkey has dozens of expertly-designed survey templates.


Sign up FREE

or [Learn more](#)

Q2

How was the parking?

Answered: 22 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Fine - considering the location	81.82% 18
Adequate, no problems...	18.18% 4
Horrible, I hadn't plann...	0.00% 0
Other (please specify)	0.00% 0
Total	22

Answer Choices	Responses
Adequate, no problems finding a spot.	18.18% 4
Horrible, I hadn't planned on walking THAT far.	0.00% 0
Other (please specify)	0.00% 0
Total	22

Q3

Please rate the hosting facility and provisions made. Rank 1-5 with a score of 1 meaning excellent.

Answered: 22 Skipped: 0




	Excellent	(no label)	(no label)	(no label)	Horrible	Total	Average Rating
Meeting space and restrooms.	68.18% 15	27.27% 6	4.55% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	22	1.36
Morning break (breakfast foods, fruits, coffee, etc.)	72.73% 16	22.73% 5	0.00% 0	4.55% 1	0.00% 0	22	1.36
Lunch (Subway)	72.73% 16	22.73% 5	4.55% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	22	1.32
Lunch cost (\$0)	100.00% 22	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	22	1.00
Afternoon Break (coffee and soda)	81.82% 18	18.18% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	22	1.18
Staff assistance (workshop organizers)	90.48% 19	9.52% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	21	1.10

Q4

Overall, I felt the agenda was:

Answered: 22 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Very good - well worth my time.	59.09% 13
Good - I learned quite a bit.	22.73% 5
Adequate - I know a little bit more about GIS than before the workshop.	18.18% 4
Poor - I expected to learn more.	0.00% 0
Horrible - A waste of my time.	0.00% 0
Other (please specify)	Responses 0.00% 0
Total	22

Q5

Evaluate the following statements.

Answered: 22 Skipped: 0




	I learned a lot	I was educated	I learned a little	What? Sorry, I was YouTubing...	Total	Average Rating
Opening Comments: Paul Wright, Missouri Director of GIS - "GIS - Office of Geospatial Information Overview"	47.37% 9	42.11% 8	5.26% 1	5.26% 1	19	1.68
Jean Jeannotte, ESRI - "New Products, Surrounding Community Examples, and ESRI website navigation"	31.58% 6	21.05% 4	36.84% 7	10.53% 2	19	2.26
Mark Duewell, MSDIS - "Missouri Spatial Data Information System Report and 2015 MGISAC Conference in Springfield, MO"	71.43% 15	19.05% 4	9.52% 2	0.00% 0	21	1.38
Mark McCart, GeoBase Program Administrator - "Federal Use of GIS"	63.64% 14	27.27% 6	9.09% 2	0.00% 0	22	1.45
Tim Donze, Surdex - "LiDAR 101 and NEMO project area summary"	68.18% 15	27.27% 6	4.55% 1	0.00% 0	22	1.36
Kevin Dicks, PSBA and Joye Baker, Adams County - "Adams County GIS"	68.18% 15	22.73% 5	9.09% 2	0.00% 0	22	1.41
Shane McDermott, Mid-West GIS and Matthew Jones, Hannibal Board of Public Works - "Hannibal Board Public Works - GPS Inventory and Mobile Mapping"	52.38% 11	38.10% 8	9.52% 2	0.00% 0	21	1.57
Branson Wells, Marion County 911 - "GIS in Public Safety Communications"	55.00% 11	40.00% 8	5.00% 1	0.00% 0	20	1.50
David Cheek, Mark Twain Regional Council of Governments - "Mark Twain RPC Structures Project"	42.11% 8	42.11% 8	15.79% 3	0.00% 0	19	1.74
John Deis, Missouri Expeditions "Overview of Missouri Expeditions, an Android and iOS app for interactively discovering Missouri historical sites"	31.58% 6	42.11% 8	15.79% 3	10.53% 2	19	2.05

Q6

Please rate the concept of mixing local applications presentations with information presented from the state and federal level. Score on a scale of 1-5 with a score of 1

meaning you'd like more information in that category in future workshops. A 3 in each category means you liked the agenda's mix as it was presented.

Answered: 22 Skipped: 0




	Bring it on!	More	Same	Less	No thanks.	Total	Average Rating
Local presentations	54.55% 12	9.09% 2	27.27% 6	9.09% 2	0.00% 0	22	1.91
State (MGISAC)	50.00% 11	18.18% 4	31.82% 7	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	22	1.82
Federal (USGS, FEMA, etc.)	45.45% 10	22.73% 5	27.27% 6	0.00% 0	4.55% 1	22	1.95

Q7

The networking opportunities at the workshop were:

Answered: 22 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Excellent - I met colleagues that I need to know and learn from.	40.91% 9
Helpful - I met some new people and said hello to some old friends.	59.09% 13
Horrible - Not worth my time.	0.00% 0
Other (please specify)	Responses 0.00% 0
Total	22

Answer Choices	Responses
Total	22

Q8

Would you attend the same workshop if there was a small fee involved (perhaps \$10 to cover your lunch)?

Answered: 22 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	68.18% 15
No	4.55% 1
Perhaps	27.27% 6
Total	22

Q9

I would attend a workshop in the future - if there was new information to be presented and I was able to attend.

Answered: 22 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	95.45% 21
Total	22

[Comments \(2\)](#)


Answer Choices	Responses	
No	0.00%	0
Maybe	4.55%	1
Total		22

[Comments \(2\)](#)

Q10

Which description below best describes your status?

Answered: 22 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Student	9.09%	2
GIS User	77.27%	17
Interested in GIS	9.09%	2
Vendor	4.55%	1
Total		22